


Q1 How comfortable did you feel with the format of the event?


Answered: 96 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Extremely	62.50%	60
Quite	34.38%	33
Slightly	3.13%	3
Not at all	0.00%	0
Total		96

Q2 How useful was the information presented at the event?


Answered: 96 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Extremely	43.75%	42
Quite	50.00%	48
Slightly	6.25%	6
Not at all	0.00%	0
Total		96

Q3 Did you feel there were sufficient plenary session presentations?


Answered: 96 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Too many presentations	9.38% 9
About the right number of presentations	87.50% 84
Too few presentations	3.13% 3
Total	96

Q4 The discussion sessions were 20 minutes each. What is your preferred length of session for a future event?


Answered: 95 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
15 minutes	2.11% 2
20 minutes	24.21% 23
25 minutes	9.47% 9
30 minutes	64.21% 61
Total	95

Q5 Did you feel the discussion groups comprised


Answered: 95 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Too many delegates	10.53% 10
About the right number of delegates	81.05% 77
Too few delegates	8.42% 8
Total	95

Q6 If a future event was held at the same venue would you attend?

Answered: 95 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	89.47%	85
No	10.53%	10
Total		95

Q7 Where would you prefer the event to be held?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 88

#	Responses	Date
1	more central to the country	5/3/2016 9:01 AM
2	a central locatin, london or birmingham	4/29/2016 7:38 PM
3	Middle England	4/27/2016 9:28 AM
4	Birmingham or Manchester for ease of access	4/27/2016 7:58 AM
5	Central England for equal travel	4/26/2016 2:27 PM
6	London or Central UK or Glasgow	4/26/2016 2:14 PM
7	A location more central to the UK or even London	4/26/2016 1:57 PM
8	More central to the UK plc! No further south than Manchester	4/26/2016 1:47 PM

Q8 Are there any questions/topics you would like to be addressed at a future event?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 57

#	Responses	Date
1	On behalf of exhibitors there wasn't an afternoon coffee break so after the lunch the exhibition was over and it was a costly day for only two exhibition windows	5/3/2016 9:02 AM
2	Less discussion group topics so more time could be spent on each, 20 minutes is not enough time	4/29/2016 7:38 PM
3	Collaboration between Utilities and how the industry / Government can incentivise collaboration	4/28/2016 10:20 AM
4	Materials for reinstatement	4/27/2016 3:28 PM
5	Management of events on or affecting the public highway - and use of legislation - Town Police Clauses Act or Road Traffic Regulation Act?	4/27/2016 12:24 PM
6	How can the safety of cyclists (growing leisure and transport usage) be improved around roadworks and how can we improve the management and repair of potholes - this implies a more joined up approach from local authorities to new build and maintenance and streetworks but also should draw the utilities into to question their role and contributions to our asset and the economic in terms of broader asset management	4/27/2016 8:58 AM
7	All topics were relevant I would like to see timescales addressed more especially between permit systems and the councils	4/27/2016 8:27 AM
8	No	4/27/2016 8:21 AM
9	Real time transmission of notices.	4/27/2016 7:20 AM
10	The round table format was good, though they should have been in separate rooms and the speaker with a microphone as you could not hear them, there should also have been more case studies on how permit schemes were making the difference	4/26/2016 10:09 PM
11	There needs to be more question and answer time and more time given to the groups maybe cut back on the plenary in addition there needs to be outputs from the event and it was not clear how this was going to be communicated or actions acted upon	4/26/2016 8:19 PM
12	- Collaboration among various work promoters in practice. - Structured dissemination of best practices through out the industry - Initiatives by HAUC and DfT towards Standardization of industry processes.	4/26/2016 6:10 PM
13	Topics need to be relevant at the time. It's too early to say at this stage	4/26/2016 5:09 PM
14	Some of the presentations were not relevant nor did the slides provide any useful information. Tabletop exercises should now be a standard feature of the HAUC conference going forward but with greater time being spent on them	4/26/2016 4:34 PM
15	Interaction with other construction sectors	4/26/2016 4:27 PM
16	any permit reports from the highway authorities to be shown would be good, are they working etc...	4/26/2016 3:34 PM
17	defective inspection procedures and handling	4/26/2016 3:24 PM

18	Current items required	4/26/2016 2:55 PM
19	Relevance of Plenary Session Presentations very important. The Welsh Paper, whilst of good content and well-presented, has barely 1% relevance on everyday HA:Undertaker relationships and operations.	4/26/2016 2:44 PM
20	the need for financial penalties for Authority term Contractors	4/26/2016 2:33 PM
21	7 day working, any future statutory changes	4/26/2016 2:28 PM
22	one EToN including mapping system with access for all stakeholders from HAs down to Contractors	4/26/2016 2:17 PM
23	No	4/26/2016 2:17 PM
24	no	4/26/2016 2:14 PM
25	Presentations on planning of works and innovations to improve efficiency in completion of these	4/26/2016 2:08 PM
26	N/A	4/26/2016 2:07 PM
27	New Legislative changes in impact on practicality of the industry	4/26/2016 2:06 PM
28	The need for a centrally funded national database for all proposed street works, highway works and events to be plotted and co-ordinated. Elgin is an example.	4/26/2016 2:01 PM
29	Utility strikes and ways to minimise risks	4/26/2016 2:00 PM
30	This time was good and I feel there will be many more to consider for future events.	4/26/2016 1:59 PM
31	Some seating to be available while having lunch.	4/26/2016 1:57 PM
32	no	4/26/2016 1:54 PM
33	Fixed Penalty Notices, Long Term Damage & Guarantee Period.	4/26/2016 1:49 PM
34	I thought these were generally good topics but would appreciate slightly more time to discuss but less conversations to cut down noise	4/26/2016 1:46 PM
35	Better signed parking facilities Less discussion topics, more smaller tables	4/26/2016 1:38 PM
36	no	4/26/2016 1:38 PM
37	Current issues -7DW, coring consultation & Permits consultation could have been covered. Current consultations /issues should be discussed at next conference	4/26/2016 1:37 PM
38	nothing I can think of at this moment in time, HAUC uk should approach al regional HAUC and ask them prior planning the next event. There is little feed back and forther between the regional and UK HAUC	4/26/2016 1:37 PM
39	More SRoH focused.	4/26/2016 1:37 PM

Q9 If you have any other feedback, positive or negative, please give it here.

Answered: 57 Skipped: 39

#	Responses	Date
1	The access to the venue was not good to set up or breakdown exhibitions.	5/3/2016 9:03 AM
2	Fantastic organisation, excellent information in advance and prompt response to queries, very helpful indeed thank you	5/2/2016 7:39 PM
3	preferred format of this event instead of presentations all day, just need 3 or 4 discussion topics so more time can be spent on each	4/29/2016 7:39 PM
4	A very successful event - would happily attend the next	4/28/2016 11:13 AM
5	Very well organised event. Well done to all.	4/28/2016 11:12 AM
6	The roundtables did not give enough time to address the topic. The topics were also a little too narrow focussed - I would like to see some bigger picture items debated.	4/28/2016 10:20 AM
7	No burning topic, just whatever is on offer	4/28/2016 8:08 AM
8	Excellent event but signing around the site should have been provided	4/27/2016 3:29 PM
9	Information on discussion and format of the day (day timeline) earlier. If specific questions being asked in discussion groups these should be provide in conference papers	4/27/2016 2:49 PM
10	Great venue, easy access with good parking facilities. Well attended and facilities including the food provision was excellent. If ever seeking a venue in the South East, the Clive Emerson Hall at the Kent County Showground (Detling, Kent) is a very good venue which the SEHAUC event had been held at for the last few years.	4/27/2016 12:25 PM
11	Good format - disapointed with the Virgin Media and Event presentations	4/27/2016 9:29 AM
12	N/a	4/27/2016 8:59 AM
13	It was a very beneficial meeting, this was my first of this kind and I am glad is wasnt the same format as previous years, I would however have constructed the discussions better, perhaps a table plan with the names on so we knew where we were going and had it a bit more structured.	4/27/2016 8:29 AM
14	The day went well with good attendance right to the end. The venue was easy to get to	4/27/2016 8:29 AM
15	Excellent Convention with a refreshing format.	4/27/2016 8:22 AM
16	The discussion tables were to close together and this made them to noisy for good discussion.	4/27/2016 7:24 AM
17	Would have liked a better vegetarian option for lunch	4/26/2016 8:29 PM
18	Good venue, good food, good agenda the only negative was that a couple of the speakers went on too long. Good to see the number of sponsors	4/26/2016 8:20 PM
19	Moderators / facilitators during the round table discussions should try not to address the concerns / suggestions on the table. The primary agenda of round table should be to generate as much information as possible and promote sharing of ideas / solutions.	4/26/2016 6:13 PM

20	Please could I be informed if any assistance is required in any of your action groups in the future.	4/26/2016 5:52 PM
21	Enjoyed the format convention rather and conference. I liked the round table format but liked the JCB day better as the break out sessions were less noisy. I also liked the JCB presentation.	4/26/2016 3:47 PM
22	time for the sessions were too short, the venue and food were great, be silly not to use this facility again	4/26/2016 3:35 PM
23	I was hoping for more topics relating to day to day running of streetworks for inspectors and administration processes	4/26/2016 3:31 PM
24	Give consideration to hosing in a more central location, e.g Manchester or London	4/26/2016 3:17 PM
25	Round table sessions were really good, everyone got a chance to have a voice.	4/26/2016 3:13 PM
26	Good event although one or two presentations not particularly relevant	4/26/2016 2:55 PM
27	Somehow need to find time for the chairs to the Discussion Tables to 'select' the issues for feedback - a short afternoon teabreak would do this, but appreciate that would be cue for some to leave early.	4/26/2016 2:46 PM
28	there was nowhere to sit and eat lunch	4/26/2016 2:34 PM
29	event location - Wales has hosted several events now, what about other areas of the UK	4/26/2016 2:32 PM
30	Well presented with a high level delegates	4/26/2016 2:29 PM
31	Excellent food. More interesting in convention format than a conference. More participation.	4/26/2016 2:25 PM
32	Me and my colleague took part in the permit discussion and at times we felt as though the person asking the questions who worked the permit scheme in Kent seemed to be a bit one sided ,when remarks made differently to schemes . With comments like doesnt work like that in kent. But unfortunately as a contractor it works differently across areas which we were trying to get across . More in partial debate hosts.	4/26/2016 2:21 PM
33	perhaps the breakout sessions need to be separate from main event with an invited list of delegates so topics can have more focus / outcome	4/26/2016 2:20 PM
34	Excellent format, the EPG tables were a great bonus	4/26/2016 2:19 PM
35	Presentations should be kept to a max of 15 mins with only 3 presentation throughout the day to allow for more table discussions to be participated in.	4/26/2016 2:14 PM
36	The only other comment i have about the Round Table Sessions is that it would be good to organise them in a way that would give a better balance of Utilities and Highways. I was sat on two tables that mainly consisted of utilities delegates because most of the HA representatives concerned with the subject had been at the previous session.	4/26/2016 2:09 PM
37	The location is perhaps a little out of the way if travelling from North	4/26/2016 2:08 PM
38	Good venue , agenda is good	4/26/2016 2:07 PM
39	It was an excellent event	4/26/2016 2:05 PM
40	Not all the discussion tables had a facilitator present	4/26/2016 2:02 PM
41	it was a very refreshing change to the normal conference as long as the feedback given in the round table discussions is used to shape future policy direction.	4/26/2016 2:01 PM
42	The venue was great and I think it suited the conference well.	4/26/2016 1:59 PM
43	Great event! really enjoyed it. Suggest two day event for future... I felt all a bit rushed not enough networking time. Break outs were great but again rushed.	4/26/2016 1:57 PM
44	no	4/26/2016 1:57 PM

45	I feel that not enough time was given in the discussion groups and we had too many. I think we should have had one or 2 more presentations and only 2 discussion groups for 30 mins each. The discussion groups seemed to force points questions and I would have preferred open discussion topics.	4/26/2016 1:55 PM
46	Would have liked to set up my stand the night before - just would have made the morning a bit less stressful. otherwise great event.	4/26/2016 1:55 PM
47	Nothing at all wrong with the venue, but tucked away in the south west corner of the UK is too South England / Wales centric. We are talking HAUC(UK)!	4/26/2016 1:54 PM
48	enjoyed mixed format but found it a free for all to get to tables. In other events I have attended there was more direction to choreograph the changes. Small tables created noise from other adjacent tables especially difficult where conversation dominated by an individual. However still a very enjoyable event in fabulous surroundings	4/26/2016 1:53 PM
49	It was a really lovely venue and good food, the only thing I would add is that some of the presentation were too long more time could have been spent then on the discussion topics giving us the 30 min+ that we needed.	4/26/2016 1:53 PM
50	We hope that the information gathered at the plenary sessions is shared along with what will be done with this information (who/what/when)	4/26/2016 1:46 PM
51	Great event at a fab location, the round table discussion were very informative and it was great to hear from the utilities and other HA's	4/26/2016 1:41 PM
52	I liked the new format, very useful and as there is a mixture of attendees it enabled them to home in on the areas they are really concerned with rather than listening for a whole day through multiple speeches. Some of the tables however were just complaint and suggestion forums which would be useful for HAUC uk but not for the table attendees, some of the table facilitators were very un prepared but its a new format so if it was done again it would naturally be more slick.	4/26/2016 1:40 PM
53	Round the table discussions could have been more structured and longer.	4/26/2016 1:39 PM
54	See previous comment. Venue good, plus easy to get to. Good format, but difficult to fit everything into 1 day slot!	4/26/2016 1:38 PM
55	An much better format than previous events	4/26/2016 1:38 PM
56	Round table events work better in "break out areas". Too noisy in that format.	4/26/2016 1:38 PM
57	n/a	4/26/2016 1:36 PM